



## **AGENDA ITEM #8**

### **Recommendations for Rule Changes for the Year 2007 (Powered Aerobatics)**

The CIVA Rules and Judging Sub-Committees met in Radom, Poland on 2 August 2006 to consider various NAC proposals for 2007. The attendance at the meeting was as follows:

**Present:** Mike Heuer, Jiri Koblirle, Osmo Jalovaara, John Gaillard, Alan Cassidy, Lars Frölander, LG Arvidsson, Mikhail Mamistov, Robert Chomono, Greg Dungan

**Apologies:** Liz Cook, Brian Howard, Steve Green

**Observers:** Michel Dupont, Ann Salcedo, Julia Wood, Tom Adams, Don Peterson

The following is a summary of the proposals, which are recommended for adoption at the 2006 CIVA plenary meeting in Poland. These proposals are for Section 6, Part 1 (Unlimited and Advanced Categories). The Glider Aerobatics and Catalogue Sub-Committees will report separately.

The proposals, which were adopted or modified, are presented below in boxes. Those not recommended by Sub-Committee will not be considered by CIVA during the plenary meeting and are not presented below.

#### **FINLAND**

Finland proposed that CIVA develop and institute an achievement program for both glider and powered aerobatic pilots.

Sub-Committees agreed with the proposal and recommended a working group be set up and consist of Matti Mecklin (Chairman), Alan Cassidy, Greg Dungan, and Madelyne Delcroix. This working group will present proposals to CIVA in November.

#### **FRANCE, SOUTH AFRICA, USA**

The proposals of France, South Africa, and the USA all raised the issue of bonus points and maximum number of figures in Unlimited Free Programmes.

Sub-Committees recommend the **elimination of bonus points** and a maximum of **9 figures** for Unlimited Free Programmes. Max K-factor (420) will remain the same.

## GERMANY

Germany proposed changes in the maximum number of figures in the Advanced Free Programme and versatility. The proposal is to reduce the maximum number of figures to **12** (maximum K factor of 300 to remain). There were similar proposals from Great Britain.

Sub-Committees recommend the adoption of the German proposals but added the possibility of pilots also using figures from 9.10 to meet versatility requirements. Therefore the wording in the table under 4.3.3.7 (Advanced) would be changed to read:

**At least two**

## SOUTH AFRICA

South Africa also proposed changes in versatility for the Advanced category.

Sub-Committees recommend changing the table under 4.3.3.7 (Advanced), Opposite Rolls, to read:

**At least one instance**

Sub-Committees also recommend the South African proposal to change 4.1.7.4 to only require one warm-up flight for single-type competitions (YAK 52).

## SWITZERLAND

Switzerland proposed forming a commission to come up with new proposals for competition programmes and their implementation into Section 6.

Sub-Committees recommended any proposals regarding new competition programmes be forwarded to the CIVA Strategic Planning Group (John Gaillard, Chairman).

## UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

The USA proposed new wording in Section 6 which would make Line Judges mandatory at World Championships but would provide for special dispensation from the requirement upon application to the Bureau of CIVA.

Sub-Committees recommend USA proposal #1 be adopted.

## **UNITED KINGDOM**

Great Britain proposed new judging criteria for Stall Turns with these changes subject to Catalogue Sub-Committee proposals.

**Sub-Committees recommend the UK proposals be adopted.**

Great Britain also recommended additions to Section 6 which incorporate eyesight testing for judges under the “Qualification of Judges” section.

**Sub-Committees recommend the UK proposals be adopted.**

## **PRESIDENT’S PROPOSALS**

The proposals of the President of CIVA will be covered by the Report of the Chairman of the Judging Sub-Committee (John Gaillard). This report will address the issue of selection of Judges for FAI Aerobatic Championships and will be included in the Agenda Package for the plenary meeting in November.

The President also requested a review of 4.1.1.1 and 4.1.1.2 by the editor of Section 6 to be sure the wording is consistent. The intent is to have both sections the same.