



*Fédération
Aéronautique
Internationale*

Minutes

of the
Annual Meeting
of the
FAI Aerobatics Commission (CIVA)

held in Krakow, Poland
on 4 and 5 November 2006
at the Hotel Ibis

Ver. 1.1 / 14 January 2007

*Avenue Mon-Repos 24
CH-1005 Lausanne
(Switzerland)
Tél.: +41(0) 21/345.10.70
Fax: +41(0) 21/345.10.77
E-mail: sec@fai.org
Web: www.fai.org*

Decision: MH to produce written report.

6. Report from the President of CIVA – Michael R Heuer

MH stated:

6.1 Championships – three were held in 2006:

- AWAC at Radom, Poland Advanced Champion is Alexander Kurylev of Russia
- EAC at Grenchen, Switzerland European Champion is Mikhail Mamistov of Russia
- EGAC at Rybnik, Poland European Glider Champion is Ferenc Toth of Hungary

There were 141 competitors in 2006 up from 135 in 2005.
68 World and Continental Championships have been held since 1960.

6.2 Aresti Catalogue

- First year CIVA has operated under the Aresti Catalogue
- There will be amendments to the catalogue on 1 January 2007 if agreed by CIVA
- It was originally agreed that the Joint Committee would meet annually. FAI and Aresti have agreed that this is not necessary in 2006.
- The last payments for the FAI/Aresti settlement will be made by CIVA in 2007.

6.3 Fair Play System

MH thanked Alan Cassidy, Steve Green and Michel Dupont for their work on the system.

6.4 Leon Bianciotto Diploma

Jiří Kobrle was presented the Biancotto Diploma at the General Conference in Chile.
Sándor Katona has been nominated by Hungary for the year 2006.

7. Report from the Vice President of Finance – L-G Arvidsson

LGA explained the main items of the report to the Delegates:

- Invoices from FAI to National Aero Clubs not yet paid
- Budget increase in 2006 over 2005 due to sanction fees and decrease in the number of Jury and Judges
- CIVA requires more funds to remain independent
- No further costs will be incurred by FPS. Alan Cassidy, Steve Green and Michel Dupont completed an enormous amount of work. Now only fine-tuning required.
- Difficult to find other income – consider starting more competitions.
- The idea is not for organisers to make money from a contest.

MH stated he would personally cover the cost of attending the Air Sports Commission Presidents Meeting in Lausanne in May 2006.

Hanspeter Rohner suggested a levy of USD1K on each National Aero Club.

Elena Klimovich stated participants want souvenirs from the competitions.

Decisions:

- a) The 2006 Financial Report was accepted.
- b) The Bureau to discuss production of souvenirs for competitions

8. Reports on the 2006 World Advanced Aerobatic Championships

8.1 President of the International Jury – Michael R Heuer

No discussion

8.2 Contest Director – Marek Szufa

No discussion

8.3 Chief Judge – Pavol Kavka

No discussion

MH thanked Poland for organising the championships.

Decisions: All reports were accepted by CIVA.

9. Reports on the 2006 European Glider Aerobatic Championships

9.1 President of the International Jury – Karl Berger

KB briefly described the box markers on top of towers, stated that it was a very well run and organised competition and thanked Poland for organising the championships.

No discussion.

9.2 Contest Director – Pavol Kavka

No discussion.

9.3 Chief Judge – Helmut Stas

No discussion

Decisions: All reports were accepted by CIVA.

Jerzy Makula, Delegate of Poland introduced the President of the Aero Club of Poland, Mr Gromoslaw Czempiński.

Mr Czempiński welcomed all Delegates to Krakow and reviewed the organisation and details of the competitions.

Mr Czempiński presented a DVD 'Sky Full of Stars'.

Glider Sub-Committee

There was discussion on the voting procedures for members of the Glider Sub-Committee.

MH stated the current procedure is anyone who receives a vote will be a member of the Sub-Committee. It has been recommended that only 1 member per country be allowed.

Comments:

- Manfred Echter – Glider Sub-Committee is a mini CIVA
- KB stated if Glider rule changes were discussed at CIVA it would prolong the time of the Plenary Meeting.
- Elena Klimovich recommended Glider proposals be included in Power Sub-Committee discussions as there were common ideas of value to both disciplines.

Decisions:

- There were no objections to the recommendation that the Glider Sub-Committee consist of only one member from a country.
- It was agreed that Delegates would not withdraw any nominations.

10. Reports on the 2006 European Aerobatic Championships

10.1 President of the International Jury - Jiří Koblíček

JK referred to his written report. Also stated that:

- CIVA must have experienced organisers at a championship.
- Box was checked on arrival. Lost one day of competition due to box.

- Wind measuring device was not available.
- It is a difficult decision to stop a competition

Elena Klimovich stated:

- Box was incomplete
- Accommodation was an issue – participants allocated to share double beds
- No on line system
- No protests but a large number of arguments

OJ stated:

- Contest Director did not understand CIVA Rules and did not take advice from the International Jury.
- JK saved the Contest Director from shame and disaster
- International Jury worked to save the contest.

Alan Cassidy – It is the responsibility of CIVA to provide organisers with an information booklet.

LGA recommended an urgent proposal be submitted to check site before commencement of competition.

Hanspeter Rohner advised:

- Concerned about the impression made on the competitors and apologised for the situation.
- Thanked the Jury for not reacting to the Contest Directors report.
- The organisation was more crisis management than preparation management.
- Judges were very professional.

MH stated:

- Contest organisers were provided with the same documentation as those at Radom
- Contest organisers must read Section 6 and understand the rules.
- Flags and other equipment were provided to the organisers
- Hans Vogtmann contacted the organisers and offered his services.
- Contest organisers must welcome CIVA 'Steward' support.

Decision: MH to arrange completion of the Contest Director Handbook

10.2 Contest Director – Philipp Sprecher

Report was originally tabled however following discussion it was agreed to re-visit the report.

JG stated the Contest Directors report was totally unacceptable and would form part of the Minutes and CIVA history.

JG recommended the report be withdrawn and/or re-written.

Vote – The reject the report – 14. Against – 1.

Decision: The Contest Director's Report for EAC 2006 was rejected and he was invited to submit an amended report.

10.3 Chief Judge – Graham Hill

No discussion

Elena Klimovich stated:

- EAC results not on CIVA web site
- Incorrect results are listed on EAC web site
- Results must be based on CIVA rules
- All pilots flew 2nd Unknown. Official results must be clarified.

Decision: MH to investigate and confirm.

11. Recommendations for Rule Changes for the Year 2007 - Sub-Committee Reports

11.1 Report of the CIVA Rules and Judging Sub-Committees

Finland

Finland proposed that CIVA develop and institute an achievement program for both glider and powered aerobatic pilots.

Sub-Committees agreed with the proposal and recommended a working group be set up and consist of Matti Mecklin (Chairman), Alan Cassidy, Greg Dungan, and Madelyne Delcroix. This working group will present proposals to CIVA in November 2007.

Decision: CIVA Agreed

France, South Africa, USA

The proposals of France, South Africa, and the USA all raised the issue of bonus points and maximum number of figures in Unlimited Free Programmes.

Sub-Committees recommend the **elimination of bonus points** and a maximum of **9 figures** for Unlimited Free Programmes. Max K-factor (420) will remain the same.

Decision: Bonus Points Deleted - CIVA Agreed

Number of figures and K Factor – Vote: For 19, Against 2, Abstain 2

Decision: CIVA Agreed

Germany

Germany proposed changes in the maximum number of figures in the Advanced Free Programme and versatility. The proposal is to reduce the maximum number of figures to **12** (maximum K factor of 300 to remain). There were similar proposals from Great Britain.

Sub-Committees recommend the adoption of the German proposals but added the possibility of pilots also using figures from 9.10 to meet versatility requirements. Therefore the wording in the table under 4.3.3.7 (Advanced) would be changed to read: **At least two**

Vote: For 19, Against 2, Abstain 2

Decision: CIVA Agreed

South Africa

South Africa also proposed changes in versatility for the Advanced category. Sub-Committees recommend changing the table under 4.3.3.7 (Advanced), Opposite Rolls, to read: **At least one instance**

Sub-Committees also recommend the South African proposal to change 4.1.7.4 to only require one warm-up flight for single-type competitions (YAK 52).

Decision: CIVA Agreed

Switzerland

Switzerland proposed forming a commission to come up with new proposals for competition programmes and their implementation into Section 6.

Sub-Committees recommended any proposals regarding new competition programmes be forwarded to the CIVA Strategic Planning Group (John Gaillard, Chairman)

Decision: CIVA Agreed. Submit proposal to SPG by 1 May 2007. Proposals to be posted on CIVA web site.

United States of America

The USA proposed new wording in Section 6, which would make Line Judges mandatory at World Championships but would provide for special dispensation from the requirement upon application to the Bureau of CIVA.

Sub-Committees recommend USA proposal #1 be adopted.

Vote – 1 Against

Decision: CIVA Agreed

United Kingdom

Great Britain proposed new judging criteria for Stall Turns with these changes subject to Catalogue Sub-Committee proposals.

Sub-Committees recommend the UK proposals be adopted.

Decision: CIVA Agreed

Great Britain also recommended additions to Section 6, which incorporates eyesight testing for judges under the "Qualification of Judges" section.

Sub-Committees recommend the UK proposals be adopted.

Vote: For 8, Against 12, Abstain 2

Decision: Proposal not adopted by CIVA

President's Proposals – Withdrawn

11.2 Urgent Proposals from Championships

Finland Proposal #1

1.2.4.3. Eligibility "A"

a) Pilots are eligible to fly in an Advanced contest providing that they have not flown in an Unlimited World or Continental Championship for powered aircraft during the year of the Advanced contest or in the preceding two years.

b) This restriction does not, however, prevent a pilot who has not received at least 60% of the total possible points at either an Unlimited World or Continental Championship for powered aircraft from participating in an Advanced contest.

c) A pilot who qualifies for an Advanced contest under the provisions of paragraph (b) above, must achieve a minimum of 70% of the total possible points at a World Advanced contest before being

allowed to participate in an "U" contest. **Point C) withdrawn**

Rationale:

There are pilots, who have been participating in "U" contests for years and who might want to come back to "A" level especially now that the wider selection of eligible aircraft in "A" would make it possible for them without changing their airplane. The present rule makes it practically impossible to change category without loss of several years in competition.

On the other hand new pilots should be allowed to "try their hand" in "U" category without penalizing them unnecessarily if they need more experience in "A" category before entering "U". The present rule forces these kinds of pilots to continue in "U" category like a rope walker or discontinue their competition activity, when they should be allowed to gain more experience in "A" and to re-enter "U" competition a few years later.

Vote: For 14, Against, Abstain 6

Decision: CIVA Agreed

Russia Proposal #2

4.2.2.6. Permitted Breaks

a) The International Jury may allow flights to be made in two parts, during the performance of all Programmes other than the Final Freestyle, if the height of the cloud base is between the heights given in the table at paragraph 4.2.2.5.b). The competitor is then allowed to readjust height without penalty to commence the second part.

b) The pilot may choose where to take this break without stating so in advance, and such break need not be marked on Forms B or C. However, second or subsequent breaks will be penalized in accordance with paragraph 5.2.5. When an interruption occurs along the y-axis, the competitor must resume his or her flight in the same direction of flight.

c) If the cloud base subsequently rises to the higher figure in the table, pilots may no longer interrupt their flights without penalty.

With due warning, i.e. at least 10 minutes in advance, pilots will be advised by the Contest Director (or his staff), following advice to him from the International Jury, when they are to fly with / without interruption when the meteo conditions have changed.

Note: even though an interruption may be allowed without penalty by the International Jury, there is no obligation for pilots to interrupt their flight.

Rationale:

Pilots need to have time to readjust their plan for the flight both then the interruption becomes allowed and not allowed.

Decision: CIVA Agreed

Russia Proposal #3

4.3.4.1. For the Unknown Compulsory Programmes, figures will be chosen from Section 9. A maximum of 10 figures may be submitted. A representative of every National Aero Club which has a pilot (or pilots) competing may submit one figure, unless there are more than 10 Aero Clubs participating. In this case, the procedure to determine which Aero Clubs will submit figures will be as in paragraph 4.3.4.2. Repetition of any **submitted** figure with the same catalogue number **in one programme** is not allowed. The intent of this regulation is that in Programme 3, the sequence will be different from that in Programme 2, composed with the new figures submitted by Aero Clubs for Programme 3.

Rationale:

Correcting to the previous edition's meaning and making possible repetition of the catalog numbers for the linking figures which is frequently the case.

Decision: Same catalogue number can be used in different unknown programs – CIVA AGREED

Refer proposal 4.3.4.1. of Chief Judge EAC 2006

Repetition of any manoeuvre with the same catalogue number is not allowed within any one programme. Repetition of a complete figure from Programme 2 in Programme 3 is not allowed

Decision: CIVA Agreed

Russia Proposal #4

6.8.22.3. The pauses will be of identical duration and the degree of rotation correct between each pause: 180 degrees, 90 degrees, or 45 degrees. Each pause of a hesitation roll must be clearly recognizable in every case, but it is especially important that in poor visibility or at high altitude, the competitor pauses long enough to make them recognizable to the Judges. If a pause is not recognizable to a judge, the figure is graded a **hard zero (HZ)**.

Rationale:

Was corrected at the AWAC

Decision: CIVA Agreed

Russia Proposal #6

The Franco Battistoni Trophy is not in the CIVA Regulations. It must be corrected.

Discussion

- Refer 2005 Minutes 13.5 – Details of trophy not received from Italy
- Mixed Team does not exist in CIVA Rules therefore will remain unofficial
- Trophy presented at EAC 2006

Decision: Bureau will follow up with the Italian Delegate. Proposal to be submitted in 2007.

Elena Klimovich advised the Darius and Girenas trophy is not listed in CIVA Regulations or on the web site.

Decision: MH will update

Russia Proposal #7 and #8

1.3.1.6. Champions and Winners "U"

b) The male and female World Champions will be the male and female competitors who gain the highest total number of points each in Programmes 1, 2 and 3. Programme 4 will be a separate competition programme with its own gold, silver and bronze medals. In the event that Programmes Q, 1, 2, and 3 are not completed, World Champions will be named in Programme 1 and 2 as well as Overall Champions and World Champion Teams. In the event that only Programme 1 is completed, the results for Programme Q will be used retrospectively to declare **Overall World Champion**, male and female overall World Champions and World Champion Teams.

1.6.3.2. and 1.6.3.3. must be valid for both World and **Continental** Championships.

Decision: Clarified current rules.

Russia Proposal #13

Minimum number of Judges at the World and Continental Championships is 7, maximum is 10.

Rationale:

1. FPS is based on statistic principles. The more judges the better it works.
2. If the number of judges is low the weight of a judge mistake is high. If 2 or 3 judges make mistake, which is not so rare a case when the figures are complicated, the weight of a mistake is very high and will distort the results. If the number of judges is 8 to 10 the mistake will be regarded as a mistake.
3. Correct results have a priority over financial problems of feeding a few more people.
4. Decision of making a number of judges lower was made with violation of normal proposal submission procedure, was not discussed at any subcommittee meeting and a year before was not accepted by CIVA when submitted.

Discussion:

- JG – Judging Sub-Committee supports proposal
 - LGA – last year we reduced the number of judges and jury to reduce costs
 - Alan Cassidy – statistics are better with more judges
- Unrestricted number of judges used for Q program
Encourages new judges
Cost is an issue

Decision: CIVA agreed to current proposal. Rule is relative to Glider Aerobatics. To be reviewed in 2007.

USA Proposal #2 – Withdrawn

USA Proposal #3 (Refer President's Proposal #2)

Assess a penalty of 200/250 (Advanced/Unlimited) points to a competitor who is not ready when their slot time arrives. Upon notification from the event organizer or representative, the pilot will be ready to start their engine.

Add the following 5.2.4.2 and re-number section 5.3.1 as follows:

5.3.1 Downgrades

5.3.1.1 A penalty of 200/250 (Advanced/Unlimited) points will be assessed a pilot who is not ready when their slot time arrives.

- a) A penalty for pilots not ready – Vote F/O 21, Against Nil, Abstain 2

Decision: CIVA Agreed

- b) 200/250 (Advanced/Unlimited) Penalty – Vote For 18, Against Nil, Abstain 5

Decision: CIVA Agreed

Jury President Proposal #1

A situation and subsequent protest at the 2006 AWAC highlighted the fact that the current FAI Sporting Code, Section 6, Part 1, is not clear on what action a competitor may take to restart the sequence following a programme interruption. The ambiguity in the current regulations resulted in the Chief Judge assessing an "insertion penalty" for an improper restart following a programme interruption, the competitor complaining, and the International Jury subsequently upholding the complaint.

The proposed change makes two changes:

- (1) Adds the specific case where a program interruption is initiated by the competitor by dipping the

wings three times;

(2) Specifically defines three locations where the competitor may restart the sequence following an interruption. Those locations are: (a) with the figure immediately preceding the point of interruption; (b) with the figure in which the interruption occurred; and (c) with the figure immediately following the point of interruption.

EXAMPLES:

(1) Interruption taken between figures #3 and #4
Programme may restart with either figure #3 or #4

(2) Interruption taken within figure #3
Programme may restart with either figure #3 or #4

Should the pilot restart the programme at any other location, a second interruption penalty would be assessed.

This proposed change would eliminate any ambiguity for both pilots and judges on the proper procedure for restarting a sequence following a programme interruption.

Current Wording

5.2.5.1. A competitor will be given penalty points, in accordance with the appropriate tariff, if he or she interrupts his or her programme:

- a) in order to make a change of attitude or direction between two figures (more than 90°);
- b) in order to lose or regain height;

Proposed Wording

5.2.5.1. A competitor will be given penalty points, in accordance with the appropriate tariff, if he or she interrupts his or her programme:

- a) by dipping the wing three (3) times immediately one after the other;
- b) in order to make a change of attitude or direction between two figures (more than 90°);
- c) in order to lose or regain height;

5.2.5.2. Following a programme interruption, the competitor must restart his or her programme with the figure:

- a) immediately preceding the point of interruption;
- b) in which the interruption occurred;
- c) immediately following the point of interruption

In no case, shall a figure which had already received a score (even if zero) prior to a programme interruption outlined in 5.2.5.1. be rescored.

5.2.5.3. Should the competitor restart his or her programme at any point other than provide for in 5.2.5.2, the competitor will be given additional penalty points, in accordance with the appropriate tariff.

5.2.5.4. [insert current paragraph 5.2.5.2.]

Decision: CIVA Agreed

Jury President Proposal #3

The following sentence to be added to 4.1.7.1:

If available, the drawing of lots can be made by a CIVA approved random program under the supervision of the International Jury.

Rationale:

With competitors now divided into three groups (based on rank order) for the purposes of establishing order of flight, there is simply insufficient time to gather everyone together to have random drawings by pilots after each flight programme. The computer can accomplish this task with the push of a button. ACMS already has this feature and it has been used at glider contests for years. AWAC 2006 used this feature with the permission of Team Managers and the International Jury but it should be a permanent section of our rules.

Decision: CIVA Agreed

Proposals from Chief Judge EAC 2006

Remove 9.8.3.4 from the list of allowed figures for all levels (Y/A/U).

Vote: F/O 10, Against 12, Abstain 1

Decision: CIVA did not adopt the proposal

4.1.7.2 Drawing of Lots Amendment

In Programme 1, 2 and 3, the competitors will be divided into three equal groups. **If the number of competitors in a programme is not divisible by three, the first group will be expanded to include the excess pilots, leaving two smaller, equally sized second and third groups.** The groups will be based on provisional accumulated overall results after the previous programmes. The results of Programme Q will only be used with respect to Programme 1. The order of flight in each group will be determined by drawing of lots as described in paragraph 4.1.7.1. **or automatically using the ACMS software under the supervision of the International Jury.**

Vote: For 12, Against Nil, Abstain 11

Decision: CIVA Agreed

7.3.1.3 Duties of the Chief Judge

"...The Chief Judge...should also **decide** which figures should receive a Hard Zero because they were started behind the judges."

JG spoke against the proposal. Should be majority of judges.

Vote: For 1, Against 17, Abstain 5

Decision: CIVA did not adopt the proposal.

7.2.4 Treatment of Hard Zeros – proposal withdrawn. Referred to Sub-Committee

11.3 **Report of the CIVA Glider Aerobatics Sub-Committee**

There was one amendment to the report – Hold Over Proposals add:
Maximum number of figures for Free Program is 10.

Decision: CIVA Agreed

Glider Sub-Committee Changes

- Only one person from each country could be represented on the Sub-Committee.
- If the elected person could not attend a meeting then that country's Delegate or NAC can name an alternate.

Decision: CIVA Agreed to the changes.

11.4 **Report of the CIVA Catalogue Sub-Committee**

Alan Cassidy thanked Manfred Echter for his assistance.

Family 2

<p>Proposals are made for a new methodology for determining the coefficients associated with rolling turns for both powered and glider aircraft.</p> <p>The new coefficients will be noticeably larger for powered aircraft, but not so for gliders. New text for the Aresti System (Condensed) and diagrams with the resulting new coefficients are shown on the following pages.</p>	<p>The current method of evaluation of rolling turns is inappropriate and leads to anomalies. For example, there is no difference in K between a roll in a straight line and a single roll in a 90- degree turn.</p> <p>The proposed methodology maintains commonality where possible with principles established for other families, while more accurately reflecting the difficulty of rolling turn technique</p> <p>Vote: F/O 3 Against 13, Abstain 7</p> <p><u>Decision:</u> CIVA did not adopt the proposal</p>
--	---

Family 5 (refer attachment #2)

<p>Proposals are made for a significant increase in figures in Family 5. The new Family 5 is shown below. These figures are not proposed for gliders. Resulting changes to judging criteria and to the figures allowed in the Unknown Programmes are beyond the remit of the Sub-Committee and will be subject to national proposals.</p>	<p>Stall Turns are a vital component of the aerobatic repertoire and a required component of Free Programmes. Compared with other Families, however, notably Families 1, 7 and 8, the range of figures available for selection has traditionally been very narrow.</p> <p>The new figures provide fresh options for sequences at all levels. For example, 5.2.1, 5.4.1 and 5.6.1 could be used in the Sportsman category, 5.3.1 and 5.8.1 in Intermediate and so on.</p> <p>Although these lower categories are not primarily the concern of CIVA, it is important that changes made to the Catalogue have relevance for all aerobatic pilots, not just those competing internationally.</p> <p>In high performance aircraft, these new figures present a greater number of rolling opportunities, allowing high-K figures to be constructed that equal or surpass the maximum difficulty currently available in complex Family 1 and Family 7 figures. This will provide greater flexibility in the design of short Unlimited Known Programmes.</p> <p>Vote: F/O 15, Against 3, Abstain 5</p> <p><u>Decision:</u> CIVA Agreed</p>
---	---

12. CIVA KNOWN COMPULSORY PROGRAMMES - 2007

MH thanked the Q Programme Analysis Working Groups for their comments.

12.1 Advanced Q Programme

Proposal	1 st Vote	2 nd Vote
A	6	7
B	7	15
C	1	
D	0	
E	1	
F	3	
G	2	
H	0	

Decision: Proposal B from the UK adopted

12.2 Unlimited Q Programme

Proposal	1 st Vote	2 nd Vote
A	0	
B	8	16
C	7	6
D	5	
E	2	

Decision: Proposal B from France adopted

13.2 Glider Q Programme

Refer Glider Sub-Committee Report.

13. Future Aerobatic Championships – Reports & Proposals

13.1 2007 World Aerobatic Championships (proposal from Spain)

Proposal presented by Mr. Antonio Quintana

- Site – Armilla Military Base, Granada, Spain
- Dates – 24 June – 4 July 2007 (provided by military base)
- Contest Director – Antonio Quintana
- Elevation 1860ft
- Maximum of 7 pilots of the same gender (rules currently state 6)
- Entry Fees – Pilot – Double Room Euro 1,550 or 1,750, Single 1,900 or 2,100.
Team Members – Double Room Euro 1,400 or 1,600, Single 1,750 or 1,950

Elena Klimovich stated fees are higher each year. Organisers requested to separate accommodation and meal costs.

There was discussion on the placement of the 4 Minute Freestyle and Airshow prior to the second Unknown Program.

Decisions: CIVA agreed to:

- The Championship will be held at Grenada Spain**
- Dates 24 June to 4 July 2007**
- Contest Director –Antonio Quintana**
- Organisers will consider separate accommodation and meal costs**
- Maximum of 7 pilots of the same gender**
- MH and Antonio Quintana to ensure there is no violation of rules.**

13.2 2007 European Advanced Aerobatic Championship (report from Finland)

Report presented by Matti Peura

- Site – Joensuu Finland
- Dates – 20 – 28 July 2007
- Contest Director – Matti Peura
- Four fee options were presented.

CIVA had agreed to Contest Director and Entry Fees at 2005 Plenary.

13.3 2007 World Glider Aerobatic Championships (report from Austria)

- Site – Niederöblarn Austria
- Dates – 16 – 25 August 2007
- Contest Director – Manfred Echter
- Entry Fees – Competitors Euro600, Team Euro250, Tow Euro55

CIVA had agreed to the site and dates at 2005 Plenary.

Decisions: CIVA agreed to the Contest Director and Entry Fees.

13.4 2008 World Advanced Aerobatic Championships (proposals invited)

Vicki Cruise, President of IAC presented a proposal on behalf of the USA.

Proposed sites:

- a) Las Vegas Nevada – Elev.863
 - b) Pendleton Oregon – Elev.456m
Former military base, very dry weather, several practice sites available.
- Proposed dates – June/July/August

Decision: CIVA agreed to hold 2008 AWAC in the USA. Site to be confirmed.

13.5 2008 European Aerobatic Championships – no bids received.

13.6 2008 European Glider Aerobatic Championships – no bids received.

13.7 2009 World Air Games

Report presented by Osmo Jalovaara, CIVA Liaison)

- FAI has opened the bidding process for the 2009 WAG. Phase 1 closes 31 December 2006.
- FAI has released proposed timetable
- Projected participants - 1000
- Powered and Glider aerobatics involved.
- Design of events not finalised.
- Aerobatic flights similar to 4 Minute Free

There was considerable discussion on the format of the programs and rules.

Decision: Delegates to submit proposals to the Bureau of CIVA prior to January 2007.

13.8 Other Future Championships

a) 2009 Glider Aerobatic Championships - Bid of Chambley-Brussieres tabled. Presentation will be submitted for 2007 Plenary.

b) 2010 Advanced World Aerobatic Championships – Sweden indicated they would place a bid in 2007.

c) Rolund Küng stated the Russian Government had been concentrating on the WAG's. Russia would like to submit proposals for 2008 power and glider championships.

Decision: CIVA agreed that the Bureau could review proposals submitted by Russia prior to next Plenary and make any relevant decisions.

14. World Grand Prix – John Gaillard, 1st Vice President of CIVA

JG advised:

- UAE – only formation teams participated
- Only one pilot was qualified under our rules – pilot withdrew
- Al-Ain will not be on the calendar in 2007
- Jean-Louis Monnet no longer organises the competition.

Motegi

- Feedback from event not received at time of Plenary
- Event will provide some income for CIVA
- Jean-Louis Monnet has recommended that each Aero Club organise an event and the champion from the Aero Club event will attend the final in Motegi.

James Black supported the idea of each Aero Club creating pilots for the WGP final.

15. List of International Judges

Refer CIVA web site

16. Any Other Business

16.1 Contest Scoring Program Report (Michel Dupont)

MH stated Michel had undertaken a large amount of work implementing the FPS into ACMS. There is continuous development with these programs.

16.2 Leon Biancotto Diploma

Decision: CIVA agreed that Sándor Katona be awarded the Leon Biancotto Diploma for the year 2006.

Vote: For 22 Abstention 1

16.2 List of Unknown Figures

Decision: CIVA approved the unknown figures as they currently appear in regulations.

16.3 Aircraft with 2 Seat Configuration

Bob Chomono raised the issue that if a 2 seater aircraft is used it must in the 2 seat configuration. Elena Klimovich stated CIVA must consider engine controls and publish procedures.

Decision: MH to follow up and report.

16.4 Mike Heuer

LGA recommended that CIVA present the FAI Gold Medal to Mike Heuer for 20 years service as President of CIVA. At this point in the meeting, Mike left the meeting so that discussion and voting could take place. The meeting was then chaired by John Gaillard.

Decision: CIVA agreed

Mike Heuer thanked everyone for the support he has received and the privilege of serving as President. Mike also expressed his appreciation for the present received at the dinner in Wieliczka

Restaurant in the Salt Mines on Saturday night and the nomination for the FAI Medal.

16.5 Martin Vecko Instant Scoring Presentation

Martin presented a DVD on the instant scoring system – Meridan Sport Co.

- Aim is to provide on line results in ½ seconds
- Camera's on the ground and in the aircraft
- System can be added to current ACMS system
- Recommended CIVA consider the system.

Decision: MH to follow up on the program, work with the 2007 competition organisers and consider using at the 2007 competitions.

17. Elections and Appointment of Officials

James Black advised that 23 ballots had been received.

Karl Berger advised that he has resigned from the position of Vice President Gliders. This was an agreement made with Jerzy Makula last year.

Karl thanked James Black, CIVA and Mike Heuer for the support given to the Gliders.

Mike Heuer stated that Karl has completed 23 years of work and service for CIVA and the Gliders and he has been Chairman of the Gliders Sub-Committee since inception.

17.1 Officers of CIVA

Position	Nominee	Votes
President	Michael Heuer (USA)	Elected unopposed
First Vice President	John Gaillard (RSA)	Elected unopposed
Second Vice President	Jirí Koblre (CZE) Elena Klimovich	15 7

Mr. Koblre elected Second Vice President.

Third Vice President	Osmo Jalovaara (FIN)	Elected unopposed
Vice President, Gliders	Jerzy Makula (POL)	Elected unopposed

Mr. Makula elected Vice President – Gliders Aerobatics

Secretary	Liz Cook (AUS)	
Secretary	Carole Holyk (CAN)	
Rules Sub-Committee Chairman	Jirí Koblre (CZE)	Elected unopposed
Rules Sub-Committee Members		Votes
	Liz Cook (AUS)	14
	Alan Cassidy (GBR)	16
	Robert Chomono (FRA)	19
	Lars Frolander (SWE)	12
	Anatoly Belov (RUS)	17
	Brian Howard	17

Those elected are Cook, Cassidy, Chomono, Belov and Howard

Judging Sub-Committee Chairman John Gaillard (RSA) Elected unopposed

Judging Sub-Committee Members

Greg Dungan (USA)	13
Robert Chomono (FRA)	10 (Withdrew)
Osmo Jalovaara (FIN)	15
Bela Guraly (HUN)	3
Mikhail Mamistov (RUS)	18
Stephen Green (GBR)	12
L-G Arvidsson (SWE)	14
Wolfgang Schweiker (GER)	4

Those elected are Dungan, Jalovaara, Mamistov, Green and Arvidsson.

Catalogue Sub-Committee Chairman Alan Cassidy (GBR) Elected unopposed

Catalogue Sub-Committee Members

	Votes
Brian Howard (USA)	15
Madelyne Delcroix (FRA)	17
Manfred Echter (GER)	16
Jerzy Makula (POL)	15
Carole Holyk (CAN)	7
Anatoly Belov (RUS)	11
Dick Happs (GBR)	7

Those elected are Howard, Delcroix, Echter, Makula and Belov

Glider Aerobatics Sub-Committee

	Votes
Marti Kalko (USA)	
Madelyne Delcroix (FRA)	
Manfred Echter (GER)	
Helmut Stas (POL)	
Ludwig Fuss (GER)	
Carlo Marchetti (ITA)	
Bela Guraly (HUN)	
Jerzy Makula (POL)	
Pekka Havbrandt (SWE)	
Premysel Vavra (CZE)	
Karl Berger (AUT)	
Beatrice Gugelmann (SUI)	Withdrew
Sergei Krikalev (RUS)	
Gregori Kaminsky (RUS)	
Matti Mecklin (FIN)	
Erik Houtman (NED)	
Dick Happs (GBR)	
Philippe Kuechler (SUI)	

In line with the agreement that a country may only have one representative on the Sub-Committee the member for Germany is Manfred Echter and the member for Russia is Gregori Kaminsky.

All other nominees received votes (excluding Gugelmann) and are elected to the Glider Sub-Committee.

17.2 Working Groups

Contest Organisation Group:

Mike Heuer, Chairman (USA); John Gaillard (South Africa); Jerzy Makula (Poland), Jürgen Leukefeld (Germany), Lars-Göran Arvidsson (Sweden), Graham Hill (Great Britain)

Strategic Planning Group:

John Gaillard, Chairman (South Africa); Manfred Echter (Germany), Dominique Roland (France), Osmo Jalovaara (Finland), LG Arvidsson (Sweden), Liz Cook (Australia), Don Peterson (USA), Mikhail Mamistov (Russia)

Q Programme Analysis (Advanced)

Claude Bessiere (France); John Morrissey (USA); Gérard Bichet (France); Martin Vecko (Czech Republic); Mikhail Mamistov (Russia); Anatoly Belov (Russia); Sami Kontio (Finland)

Q Programme Analysis (Unlimited)

Claude Bessiere (France); John Morrissey (USA); Matthieu Roulet (France); Stanislav Bajzik (Czech Republic); Mikhail Mamistov (Russia); Anatoly Belov (Russia)

Achievement Program Working Group.

Matti Mecklin Chairman (Finland); Alan Cassidy (Great Britain); Greg Dungan (USA); Madelyne Delcroix (France).

17.3 Appointment of Officials (International Jury and Chief Judges)

a) 2007 World Aerobatic Championships - Spain

President of the International Jury

Mike Heuer (USA)	Votes Elected Unopposed
------------------	----------------------------

Members, International Jury

Jirí Koblre (CZE)	10
Robert Chomono (FRA)	11
LG Arvidsson (SWE)	13
Carole Holyk (CAN)	2
Liz Cook (AUS)	9

Those elected are Arvidsson and Chomono (1st Reserve – Koblre - CZE, 2nd Reserve Cook - AUS)

Chief Judge

John Gaillard (RSA)	10
Pavol Kavka (SVK)	8
Graham Hill (GBR)	3

John Gaillard was elected Chief Judge

b) 2007 European Advanced Aerobatic Championships - Finland

President, International Jury

Mike Heuer (USA)	Elected Unopposed
------------------	-------------------

Members, International Jury

L-G Arvidsson (SWE)	16
Robert Chomono (FRA)	16
Lars Frölander (SWE)	2
Jirí Koblre (CZE)	7
Carole Holyk (CAN)	4

Those elected are Arvidsson and Chomono (1st Reserve – Koblre - CZE, 2nd Reserve Holyk - CAN)

Chief Judge	Graham Hill (GBR)	13
	Pavol Kavka (SVK)	8

Graham Hill was elected Chief Judge

c) 2007 World Glider Aerobatic Championships - Austria

President, International Jury

Karl Berger (AUT)	Withdrew
Mike Heuer	Elected Unopposed

Members, International Jury

Carlo Marchetti (ITA)	2
Marti Kalko (USA)	5
Bela Guraly (HUN)	10
Madelyne Delcroix (FRA)	11
Beatrice Gugelmann (SUI)	5
Karl Berger	7

Those elected are Delcroix and Guraly (1st Reserve – Berger - AUT 2nd Reserve – Kalko –USA

Chief Judge		Votes
	Helmut Stas (POL)	9
	Pavol Kavka (SVK)	7
	Stephan Hau (GER)	3

Helmut Stas was elected Chief Judge

18. Date and Place of Next Meeting

Tor Andre FUSDahl advised that he would not be attending any future CIVA Meetings. Andre stated he has attended 23 meetings and has found the work most rewarding.

MH thanked Andre for his past work.

Decision: CIVA agreed the 2007 Plenary Meeting would be held in Vilnius, Lithuania

Dates – 27-28 October 2007

MH thanked the Polish team for the excellent meeting.

Meeting closed 11.50.

Submitted by Liz Cook
Secretary of CIVA
21 November 2006

Approved by Michael Heuer
President of CIVA
14 January 2007