

Proposal for decision off UAV

Date: Vingåker 25-07-2015

Looking at the history for this part of the Sporting code section 12. On the FAI home page there is 8 records and not all group are used in registration of record classes

Class U1-a no records

Class U1-b no records

Class U1-c two records

U-1.c Duration - 2 : Electric 336 h 22 min 8 sec 2010-07-23 QinetiQ HALE team ratified - current record [16053](#)

U-1.c True altitude - 2 : Electric 21 562 m 2010-07-23 QinetiQ HALE team ratified - current record [16054](#)

Class U1-d no records

Class U1-e no records

Class U1-f one records

U-1.f Duration - 1 : Internal combustion and Jet 80h 2min 52 sec 2014-12-08 [Peter LeHew \(USA\)](#) ratified - current record [17386](#)

Class U1-g no records

Class U1-h no records

Class U1-i no records

Class U2-a no records

Class U2-b no records

Class U2-c no records

Class U2-d no records

Class U2-e no records

Class U2-f no records

Class U2-g no records

Class U2-h no records

Class U2-i no records

Absolute records

Sub-Class	Type of Record	Performance	Date	Claimant	Status	Id
U-Absolute	Duration	336 h 22 min 8 sec	2010-07-23	QinetiQ HALE team	ratified - current record	16052
U-Absolute	Altitude	29'524 m	2001-08-14	Greg Kendall (USA)	ratified - current record	7354
U-Absolute	Distance	13 219.86 km	2001-04-23	Northrop Grumman Ryan Aeronautical Center (USA)	ratified - current record	7323
U-Absolute	Altitude	19'928 m	2001-03-21	Northrop Grumman Ryan Aeronautical Center (USA)	ratified - superseded since approved	7352
U-Absolute	Duration	30 h 24 min 1 sec	2001-03-21	Northrop Grumman Ryan Aeronautical Center(USA)	ratified - superseded since approved	7353

8 records since 2001 this is due to that nobody is aware about this possibility to make records, no discussions on social media regarding the subject to make this records. This is the symptom of FAI not known in the common world outside the competition world of Air sports.

Regardless of this and that I believe that CASI is not the right place to handle this with UAV/RPAS in the first place. I have a proposal to make in the direction of having this moved to aero modellers (CIAM).

Propsa for decision off UAV

Reasons for this is as follows:

1. CIAM is having the focus on this and has the skills to handle and market this type of records
2. CIAM has to define the difference between commercial/recreational uses of the products that is living in the same world as aeromodelling.
3. The same product can be used as commercial or recreational it's the use that's define the status for the UAV/Drone
4. CASI is today not the right place to develop this sport and records due to the construction and focus of CASI.
5. UAV/RPAS/Drone are the hot subject at the moment and this is reflecting on Aeromodelling community worldwide. Has to be handled as one subject for the evolution of model flying.

Reasons why not:

1. CIAM is not handling commercial subjects today
2. CIAM is not prepared to take on this task
3. CASI will not release this to CIAM due to pride of this with UAV/RPAS.

With due respect for all off this the right thing to do is too handle this as one platform and one head of decisions and working with the new groups developing in this environment of creativity. The UAV/Drone is a threat to the whole aviation sports world due to the disinformation to the public byers of Drones from the sellers.

Proposal:

Move the UAV/RPAS to CIAM to be treated as one common subject for recreational and commercial users

Reduce the groups of subclasses for records

For discussion on CASI in Rotterdam

Bengt Lindgren
Delegate Sweden